# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

### Great Differences in Antioxidant Properties Exist between 56 Apple Cultivars and Vegetation Seasons

BARBARA ŁATA,\* MARZENNA PRZERADZKA, AND MARZENA BIŃKOWSKA

Department of Pomology and Basic Natural Sciences in Horticulture, Warsaw Agricultural University, Nowoursynowska 159, 02-776 Warsaw, Poland

The contents of ascorbate, thiols, and phenolic compounds and antioxidative enzyme activity were measured in the apple peel of 56 genotypes after harvest in two vegetation seasons, 2003 and 2004. The main reason of great interest in these bioactive compounds is their well-established physiological role in all living systems. The biggest differences between tested genotypes were noted for ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase (GR) activity, followed by total ascorbate, phenolics, and glutathione concentration; the least difference was observed in the case of catalase. A large cultivar variation was noted in the anthocyanins and flavonols contents. Distinguishing the cultivars with the lowest, highest, relatively stable or those in which antioxidant content greatly differed depending on growing seasons was attempted. The GR activity is proposed as an environmental stress marker of apple fruit.

## KEYWORDS: *Malus domestica*; Rosaceae; apple; phenolics; glutathione; ascorbate; antioxidative enzyme activity

#### INTRODUCTION

The presence of active oxygen species (AOS) is an inseparable feature of life in an oxygen atmosphere, and as consequence plants have evolved antioxidant defense system to keep AOS under control. The level of AOS in plant tissues is regulated, among others, by the capacity of scavenging enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POX), glutathione reductase (GR), and the lipid-soluble (e.g.,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, carotenoids) and water-soluble (ascorbate, glutathione, flavonoids) antioxidants (1). Those compounds are involved in plant response to biotic and abiotic stress factors such as temperature extremes, ozone exposure or UV radiation, water status, or salinity, which has been reported in many scientific papers. Similar to growing plants, tolerance to oxidative stress of pre- and postharvest fruits and vegetables was associated with such factors as water- and lipid-soluble antioxidant capacities. As fresh fruits experience many types of stress during growth and development, processing, storage, and transport, increasing research is being generated on how bioactive compounds are associated with pre- and postharvest fruit quality and marketability. External as well as internal factors (such as genotype) or both can affect the antioxidant content and enzyme activity (2). It is expected that crop cultivars with higher antioxidant potential will have better stress resistance, nutritional quality, yield, and storage characteristics. In general, stress-tolerant plants or plant parts may initially contain or can generate more antioxidants during unfavorable environmental conditions and/or produce fewer AOS than more

A major class of phytochemicals found in apples comprises a variety of phenolic compounds, especially flavonoids and ascorbate as well as glutathione (4-9). The concentration and composition of phytochemicals in apples vary greatly between the apple peel and the apple flesh (6, 10-13). Depending on variety, the apple peel contained from 2 to 6 times more phenolic compounds and from 2 to 3 times more flavonoids (14). The predominantly found phenolic compounds in the apple peel included phenolic acids (hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives such as chlorogenic acid or caffeic acid) and the flavonoids. The flavonoids are a large class of compounds, generally occurring as glycosides. Glycosylation renders them less reactive toward AOS and more water soluble (15). The flavonoids included several families: monomeric and oligomeric flavanols (catechin, epicatechin, and procyanidins), flavonols (quercetin conjugates), and flavones (rutin), in addition to phloridzin and phloretin glycosides known as chalcones (4, 6). The red coloration of the skin is mainly due to the anthocyanidin cyanidin-3galactoside. Various phenolics showed different antioxidant activities. The highest contribution to the total antioxidant activity among the major apple phenolics exhibited quercetin, followed by epicatechin and procyanidin B2 (7).

sensitive species or cultivars. Oxidative stress is also involved in fruit ripening and senescence. In apple, the early stages of fruit ripening are crucial to the storage behavior of the fruit and affect its postharvest life (3). Hence, we decided to test the majority of our *Malus* genetic resources with regard to the content of the main antioxidant compounds in apple fruit, and an attempt was made to find the connection between antioxidant concentration and other apple quality traits.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author (telephone/fax +48 22 5932112; e-mail lata@alpha.sggw.waw.pl).

Table 1. Harvest Date of Analyzed Cultivars in the Years 2003-2004

	ye	ar		year			ye	year	
cultivar	2003	2004	cultivar	2003	2004	cultivar	2003	2004	
Alwa	Oct 23	Oct 21	Jester	Sept 9	Sept 13	Redgold	Oct 3	Oct14	
Arlet	Sept 19	Sept 28	Jonamac	Sept 26	Oct 1	Rosana	Sept 24	Sept 23	
Auralia	Oct 8	Oct 21	King of the Pippins	Sept 26	Oct 1	Rubin	Sept 26	Sept 23	
Britemac	Sept 9	Sept 13	Liberty	Sept 26	Oct 13	Rubinette	Oct 8	Oct 13	
Cortland	Oct 4	Oct 1	Ligol	Sept 26	Oct 14	Ruby	Oct 23	Oct 28	
Cox's Orange Pippin	Oct 7	Oct 1	Ligolina	Oct 7	Oct 14	Šampion	Sept 19	Sept 24	
Egeria	Sept 16	Sept 17	McIntosh	Sept 16	Sept 23	Sawa	Sept 12	Sept 17	
Elstar	Sept 19	Sept 23	Melodie	Oct 4	Oct 4	Selena	Sept 16	Sept 23	
Fiesta	Sept 19	Oct 13	Melrose	Oct 23	Oct 21	Sława Pobieditieliam	Aug 28	Sept 6	
Freedom	Sept 22	Oct 6	Monroe	Sept 19	Oct 6	Spartan	Sept 26	Sept28	
Fuji	Oct 23	Oct 28	Mutsu	Oct 23	Oct 21	Spencer	Oct 23	Oct 21	
Gala	Sept 16	Sept 28	Nova Easygro	Sept 26	Oct 1	Topaz	Oct 4	Oct 13	
Gloster	Oct 8	Oct 21	Odra	Oct 7	Oct 13	U 1065	Oct 1	Oct 6	
Golden Delicious	Oct 8	Oct 21	Pilot	Oct 4	Oct 14	U 1165	Oct 1	Oct 13	
Gorjaczkowski Seedling	Oct 23	Oct 21	Pinova	Oct 4	Oct 14	U 633	Sept 16	Sept 28	
			Priam	Sept 16	Sept 23	Undine	Oct 7	Oct 6	
Granny Smith	Oct 23	Oct 28	Prima	Sept 9	Oct 1	Vanda	Sept 24	Oct 4	
Haralson	Oct 4	Oct 14	Priscilla	Oct 4	Oct 14	Wealthy	Sept 1	Oct 1	
Honeygold	Sept 16	Oct 21	Rajka	Oct 4	Oct 4	Witos	Sept 9	Sept 13	

Phenolics play a crucial role in determining the sensory and nutritional quality of fresh apple, act as a substrate for browning enzymes, possess almost ideal chemical structures as antioxidants, free radical scavengers, and metal chelators, and are more powerful in comparison to vitamin C. They are implicated in pathogen resistance or some physiological disorders. Anthocyanins are also involved in the protection of fruit against UV and excessive sun irradiation (15-18).

Ascorbate and glutathione are among major hydrophilic, low molecular weight antioxidants present in plant tissues. The particular relationship between L-ascorbic acid (AA) and reduced glutathione (GSH) is present during hydrogen peroxide removal known as the Halliwel–Asada cycle, where GSH is used as reducing power for the enzymatic regeneration of dehydroascorbic acid (DHAA) (1, 19). The capacity of this cycle is dependent on the concentration of the antioxidant and the related enzyme activities, and in an acclimation reaction the increase of these elements was frequently observed. Progressive oxidation and degradation of the ascorbate and glutathione concentrations are inseparable elements of the inability of AOS removal and, eventually, senescence and cell death (20).

According to Davey et al. (13), the AA and GSH levels in the exocarp (epidermal) tissue were 6.7- and 2.8-fold higher, respectively, than those in the underlying mesocarp (on the basis of a mean value for 12 cultivars). According to our unpublished results made on 25 cultivars, on average, the glutathione reductase (GR), catalase (CAT), ascorbate, cysteine,  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine, glutathione, and phenolics were approximately 2.9, 1.5, 4.4, 1.7, 2.1, 2.1, and 2.5 times higher in the apple peel as compared to the whole apple fruit. Thus, we decided to test only apple peel to obtain clearer differences among tested cultivars.

The aim of this research was to evaluate the concentration of the total pool of ascorbate and glutathione (the sum of reduced and oxidized forms), some subgroups of phenolic compounds (flavonols, anthocyanins, and total), and some antioxidative enzyme activities [GR, ascorbate peroxidase (APX), CAT] in the peel of 56 genotypes of apple throughout the 2003–2004 vegetation seasons. Monitoring of such a great number of cultivars during two years allowed, on the one hand, the extent to which the measured parameters are influenced by the environment to be noted and, on the other hand, the cultivars



Figure 1. Mean month temperature and rainfall for the field of the Warsaw-Wilanow Station, where apples were grown in the 2003 and 2004 seasons.

with more "stable" and more "variable" elements of antioxidant apparatus to be marked.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Reagents and Apparatus.** GSH,  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine ( $\gamma$ -GC), and AA, were from Sigma. l-Cysteine (CYS) was purchased from Fluka. Monobromobimane (thiolyte) was from Calbiochem and cyanidin-3,5-diglucoside chloride from Chromadex. All other chemicals were of analytical or HPLC grade purity. The HPLC was from Waters Co., System Breeze with a binary solvent delivery system (1525), degasser, autosampler with thermostat with the scale 4–40 °C (M 717 PLUS), scanning fluorescence detector (M 474), two-channel UV–vis detector (M 2487), and the thermostat for the column 5–85 °C (Peltier).

**Plant Material and Meteorological Information.** The research was performed on apples obtained from the Experimental Orchard of the Department of Pomology and Basic Natural Sciences in Horticulture of Warsaw Agricultural University—SGGW in Warsaw-Wilanow. The orchard is located in the postglacial valley of the Vistula River, 52° N and 21° E. The soil was maintained as herbicide strips with rows of trees and sward between them. The orchard received standard horticultural practices. Apples were harvested successively as they ripened from August 28 to October 23 and from September 6 to October 28 in 2003 and 2004, respectively (**Table 1**). The research included apples of 53 cultivars and 3 clones, U 633, U 1165, and U 1065 (for simplicity in this paper also called cultivars).

The Experimental Orchard in Warsaw-Wilanow, where research was conducted, possesses a meteorological station. Mean temperatures and rainfall in 2003 and 2004 seasons are shown in **Figure 1**. The meteorological data indicate the great differences between the tested seasons. The vegetation period in 2004, as compared to 2003, was rather

cold and dry. Hence, great differences in harvest dates for particular cultivars in examined years were noted (**Table 1**). Compared to multiannual average (data not shown), the vegetation period in 2003 was considered to be hot and that in 2004 to be dry.

**Sample Preparation.** Apples were peeled with a potato knife, as a thin layer of apple flesh remained adhered to the peel [contamination by apple flesh on a fresh matter (fm) basis was  $\sim$ 30%]; the peel sample should be considered to be the epidermic zone of the apple fruit. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until analysis. Directly before analysis apple tissues were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. Chemical analyses were made in three replicates for each cultivar; each of them included apple peel from two fruits.

**Extraction and Determination of Enzymatic Activities.** Ground tissues were suspended in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) containing Triton X-100 (0.5%), insoluble polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP), and ascorbate (5 mM). The mixture was centrifuged at 48000*g*, for 20 min at 4 °C. Activity analyses of APX, GR, and CAT were carried out in a total volume of 1 mL.

The activity of APX was measured by monitoring the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm (extinction coefficient of 2.8 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). The assay mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 8 mM ascorbate, 20 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and enzyme extract (*21*). GR activity was monitored at 340 nm (extinction coefficient of 6.2 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the mixture containing 500 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 5 mM EDTA, 1.25 mM NADPH, 5 mM oxidized glutathione (GSSG), and enzyme extract (*19*). CAT activity was calculated from the fall in absorbance at 240 nm (extinction coefficient of 39.4 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the supernatant containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7), 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and the enzyme extract (*22*). Blank rates in the absence of extract were determined for each test system and subtracted during calculation. For each analysis the reaction was initiated by adding the enzyme extract.

Measurements of Low Molecular Weight Thiols and Ascorbate. Frozen apple powder was homogenized in 0.1 M HCl containing PVPP and centrifuged at 21900g for 20 min at 4 °C. Total glutathione (GSH + GSSG) concentration was determined in the supernatant after reduction with dl-dithiothreitol (DTT) and derivatization with monobromobimane. Monobromobimane derivatives were detected fluorometrically at 480 nm by excitation at 380 nm. During the same analysis CYS and  $\gamma$ -GC were also determined. Thiol derivatives were separated on a Symmetry C<sub>18</sub> column (250 mm × 4,6 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, Waters) by applying a solution of 10% methanol containing 0.25% (v/v) glacial acetic acid (solvent A, pH 4.3) and 90% methanol with the same acetic acid concentration (solvent B, pH 3.9); the flow rate was 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> (23).

Total ascorbate (sum of the ascorbate and dehydroascorbate) was measured after complete oxidation of ascorbate to dehydroascorbate with ascorbate oxidase (24). Dehydroascorbate is derivatized with *o*-phenyldiamine, and the reaction product was detected as a fluorescent compound (350/450 nm). The flow rate was 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, the eluent 20% MeOH + 800 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.8), and the column the same as for glutathione. The results were calculated with a standard curve.

**Quantification of Phenolics.** For the estimation of some subgroups of phenolics, extraction was made in the mixture of methanol, formic acid, and distilled water (50:1.5:48.5). After centrifugation (24000g, 4 °C, 10 min), the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane and diluted with 10% ethanol. The method consisted of placing 250  $\mu$ L of sample or standard in a test tube and adding the same volume of 0.1% HCl in 95% ethanol and 4500  $\mu$ L of 2% HCl. The absorbance of the solution was then read at 280, 360, and 520 nm to measure total phenolics, flavonols, and anthocyanins, respectively. Gallic acid, quercetin, and cyanidin-3,5-diglucoside chloride were standards used for total phenolics, flavonols, and anthocyanins, respectively. Standards were prepared in 96% ethanol except for gallic acid, which was made in 10% ethanol (25).

**Statistical Analysis.** Because the performed two-way analysis of variance exhibited significant differences between tested years for almost all tested parameters, the presented results were elaborated by a one-way factorial ANOVA of Statgraphics Plus 4.1. separately for each tested year. The significance of differences between cultivar means

was evaluated using the Newman-Keuls test; a 5% probability level was used to indicate the significance of differences.

#### RESULTS

Ascorbate. The average concentration of apple peel ascorbate (AA + DHAA) ranged from 926.6 (cv. McIntosh) to 5202.2 (cv. Rubin) nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm and from 1299.8 (cv. Britemac) to 5537.0 (cv. Fiesta) nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm in 2003 and 2004, respectively (Table 2). Taking into consideration the 10 cultivars with the lowest and the 10 cultivars with the highest concentrations of ascorbate (denoted hereafter as groups of high and low given compound contents) in both years, four and five occurred in 2003 and 2004, respectively. Namely, cvs. McIntosh, Britemac, Alwa, and Fuji were in the group with the smallest amounts of ascorbate, as opposed to cvs. Rajka, Topaz, Pilot, Sampion, and Melodie, which exhibited a high concentration in both seasons. The amount of ascorbate in 2004 was considerably higher than that in 2003. In both vegetation seasons cultivars in which the content of ascorbate exceeded the mean value were nearly the same and amounted to approximately 41% (2003) and 43% (2004). The increase of ascorbate content above 50% in 2004 in comparison to the former one appeared in 13 cultivars, whereas there were only 2 cultivars with such increasing concentration of ascorbate in 2003. The highest rises of ascorbate content in 2004 were exhibited by cvs. Melrose, Granny Smith, Fiesta, Jester, McIntosh, and Gorjaczkowski Seedling, namely, 223, 121, 91, 82, 80, and 72%, respectively. Of those cultivars McIntosh and Melrose had the lowest concentration of ascorbate in 2003. The differences, not exceeding 10%, between cultivars in both tested years showed  $\sim 29\%$ of analyzed genotypes. The lowest differences were noted for cv. Rubinette (0.5%) followed by cvs. King of the Pippins, Redgold, and Witos (1%), Freedom (2%), Ligol, Pilot, Prima, Ruby, and Selena (4%), Britemac and Sampion (5%), Auralia and Priscilla (8%), and Sawa and Undine (9%). In the abovementioned group the majority contained between low and medium concentrations of ascorbate, but three cultivars were characterized by high amounts of ascorbate, namely, Sampion, Pilot, and Ligol, on average 4646.0, 4162.9, and 3580.6 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm, respectively.

Low Molecular Weight Thiols. The concentrations of cysteine and glutathione (GSH + GSSG) in both tested years were nearly the same, on average, 6.40 and 56.1 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm, respectively (Table 2). The concentration of cysteine ranged from 2.67 (U 1065) to 10.40 (Melodie) and from 1.27 (U 633) to 10.07 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm (Pilot) in 2003 and 2004, respectively. The content of  $\gamma$ -GC after harvest was rather low; the same mean value for both years was recorded, namely, 0.3 nmol  $g^{-1}$ of fm (data not shown). Glutathione content amounted to from 32.1 (Pinova, 2003) and 29.3 (U 633, 2004) to 104.8 (2003) and 109.9 (2004) nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm for Gorjaczkowski Seedling. The lowest concentration of glutathione was recorded for the U 633, McIntosh, and Sława Pobiediteliam, and the highest in both tested years was found for Gorjaczkowski Seedling followed by Granny Smith, Cox's Orange Pippin, Melodie, and Pilot, on average. The last four specified cultivars were simultaneously characterized by the highest cysteine content. The lowest concentration of cysteine in both tested years (below 5 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm) was found for U 633, followed by U 1065, McIntosh, Spencer, and Spartan; in general, genotypes with a high content of glutathione (>60 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm) were also rich in cysteine (over the average value).

Compared to ascorbate there were no obvious differences exceeding 70% between tested cultivars in consecutive years

Table 2. Concentrations of Ascorbate, L-Cysteine, and Glutathione Depending on Cultivar and Harvest Year<sup>a</sup>

	ascorbate ( (nmol g	AA + DHAA) <sup>—1</sup> of fm)	∟-cysteine (nmol g <sup>−1</sup> of fm)		glutathione (GSH + GSSG) (nmol $g^{-1}$ of fm)	
cultivar	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004
Alwa	1421.8a-c	1832.8a-c	7.60b-h	6.17b-h	85.9h-k	59.8d–l
Arlet	2673.3a-i	4255.5i-0	7.47b-h	6.00b-h	53.5a-a	41.8a-f
Auralia	2823.6b-i	2622 1a-h	7.53h-h	8 43d—i	50.6a-g	78.8k-m
Britemac	1366 1a_c	1200 82	6.23a_a	5.77h_h	55.2a_h	47 0a_h
Cortland	1823 Qa_a	2510 1a_a	0.200 g 0.07f_h	0.03a_i	73.4e_i	63.4e_m
Covis Orango Pippin	1023.3a-y	2013.1a-y	9.071-11 9.47c h	9.039-j 8.530 i	97.2i k	76 9i m
Egoria	4492.0j-1 1602.90 f	2921.7a-j 2114.02.0	6.00b b	0.000-j	07.21-K	70.01-111 46.10 h
Eleter	1095.0a-i 4255.0i l	2114.0a-e	0.900-11 7.576 h	5.550-11 5.575 b	40.0a—y	40.1a-11
Eista	4200.01-1 2005 4h i	2504.1a-y	7.370-11 7.176 h	0.10 mi	40.5a-y	52.5d-0
Fiesta	2905.40-1	<b>3337.0</b> 0	7.17D-11	9.10g-j	09.00-j	00.41-111
Fieedom	2795.60-1	2734.38-1	5.63a-y	0.07D-11	49.2a—y	41.3d-1
Fuji	1401.4a-c	2045.6a-e	4.70a-d	5.13D-T	56.2a-I	58.4C-I
Gala	1292.2a-c	2158.1a-e	6.53D-g	7.00D—J	53.2a-g	41.6a-r
Gloster	1638.6a-e	2015.0a-e	5.13a-t	8.5/e-j	43./a-t	64.4t-m
Golden Delicious	3302.1d–j	4377.7j-0	5.03a-t	8.30c-j	35.5a-c	55.3b-k
Gorjaczkowski Seedling	1582.6a-e	2724.7a-i	4.90a-e	8.37d-j	104.8k	109.9n
Granny Smith	1850.9a—g	4092.7g—n	7.50b-h	9.23h—j	79.4g—j	84.7m
Haralson	2250.6a—g	3545.1c-m	5.57a—f	4.93b-e	68.3c—j	63.4e-m
Honeygold	3268.6d—j	2265.8a–f	4.87a-e	6.53b—j	47.7a—g	50.3a—h
Jester	1839.2a—g	3344.1b-m	5.07a—f	5.83b—h	46.5a—g	49.5a—h
Jonamac	2036.6a—g	1808.9ab	7.07b–h	6.57b—j	70.3d—j	59.8d—l
King of the Pippins	3003.1c-i	2972.0a-k	6.70b-h	8.97f—j	37.2a–d	61.9e-m
Liberty	1486.1a-c	2137.5а-е	8.00c-h	8.10b-j	55.2a—h	46.3a-h
Ligol	3513.6g-k	3647.5e-m	7.83c-h	6.77b–j	65.8a—j	45.8a—h
Ligolina	1984.5a-g	3187.5b-m	7.73c-h	6.30b-i	46.9a-g	42.3a–f
McIntosh	926.6a	1666.3ab	4.43a-c	4.87b-e	42.6a-f	37.1a-d
Melodie	3955.0h-l	5398.8no	<b>10.40</b> h	8.53e-i	76.3f—i	82.6lm
Melrose	1132.4ab	3652.6e-m	5.13a-f	5.40b-h	54.3a-h	70.0a-m
Monroe	2428.3a-h	2807.4a-i	7.30b-h	5.80b-h	68.7c—i	41.8a-f
Mutsu	2438.0a-h	3879.6f-m	6.23a-q	6.80b-i	66.3b-i	54.5a-k
Nova Fasygro	1417.3a-c	2091 6a-e	3.60ab	7 20b—i	52.9a-q	77 0i-m
Odra	2829.5b-i	3219 1b-m	5 20a_f	4.93b-e	42 0a_f	51.8a—i
Pilot	4242 7i_l	4083.0a_n	8 70d_h	10 07i	72 3e_i	82 0lm
Pinova	2427 8a_h	3102.8h_m	5.37a_f	6.63h_i	3212	42.5a_f
Priam	1890 1a_a	2415.8a_f	8.90e_h	5.47h_h	61 5a_i	43.7a_f
Prima	1861 2a a	1027 6a_d	6.83b_h	5.10b_f	12.62_f	35.1a_d
Priscilla	21/0 12 g	2310 5a_f	0.000 m	8.43d_i	60 0a_i	58.7d l
Paika	2/140.1a-y 3/155.8a_k	2310.3a-1 /18/ 3h_n	8.20c_h	5.430-j	73 60_i	61.80_m
Podgold	2000 Ro	1000 10 0	6.57b a	1.00h o	62.20 j	54.20 k
Posana	2009.0a-y	2634 7a h	6.20p. g	4.300-e	02.5a-j 46.0a. g	14.2a-k
Rubin	1909.4a-y	2004.7a-11	0.20a—y	4.00D-u	40.9a-y	44.2a—y 42.60 f
Rubinotto	3202.21	3032.0I-III	0.27a-y	0.000-11	40.9a—y	42.0d-1
Rubinelle	2921.20-1 1000.7c ~	2907.0a-j	5.97a-y	0.001-j	52.7a-y	01.9IIII 59.1o J
Kuby Čempier	1992.7a-y	2003.2a-e	0.07a-1		69.0jK	00.10-1 00.46 m
Sampion	4769.4KI	4522.6K-0	7.10D-N	4.60D-0	63.0a-j	00.11-III
Sawa	2011.0a-g	2200.7a-e	7.100-11	4.53D-0	40.3a-y	31.2dD
Selena	2194.3a-g	2105.0a-e	6.10a-g	9.90lj	44.9a-r	70.1n-m
Sława Pobleditieliam	1526.9a-d	2174.0a-e	5.33a-r	6.20D-n	41.3a-e	41.3a-r
Spartan	1613./a-e	1343.4a	4.97a-e	4.46DC	68.8CJ	44.3a-g
Spencer	1567.6a-e	2541.6a-g	4.4/a-c	4.93b-e	56.1a-I	41.9a-t
lopaz	3402.9t-k	4596.91-0	5.67a-g	5.33b-g	50.8a-g	64.9t-m
U 1065	1595.9a-e	2130.2а-е	2.67a	4.27b	36.1a-d	52.9a-j
U 1165	2917.7b-i	3598.0d-m	6.70b-h	4.37b	71.8e-j	63.7f-m
U 633	1856.5a-g	2461.5a—g	4.47a-c	<b>1.27</b> a	33.9ab	<b>29.3</b> a
Undine	3326.7e—j	3043.3b-l	5.07a–f	5.17b–f	37.5a-d	34.6a-d
Vanda	2521.7a-h	3159.0b-m	7.50b-h	6.70b–j	55.0a—h	63.1e-m
Wealthy	2920.0b-i	4683.2m—o	5.07a–f	5.67b-h	40.1a-e	42.5a–f
Witos	1862.8ag	1879.4a-c	5.03a-f	6.63b—j	50.8a–g	57.9c–l
av	2428.0	2918.5	6.40	6.40	56.6	55.5

<sup>a</sup> Means followed by the same letters do not differ significantly. Bold type indicates the highest and lowest values

in the case of glutathione and only a few examples for cysteine (Gorjaczkowski Seedling, Nova Easygro, and U 633). However, the small tendencies of the increase of this thiol compound could be observed in 2004. As a consequence,  $\sim$ 46% of the cultivars tested that year had above average contents of glutathione as compared to only 41% in the former year.

There were 16 cultivars in which differences between seasons in the content of glutathione did not exceed 10%: Egeria and Sława Pobiediteliam (0%); Fuji and Priscilla (4%); Gorjaczkowski Seedling and Honeygold (5%); Fiesta, Jester, Rosana, and Wealthy (6%); Granny Smith (7%); Haralson, Melodie, and Undine (8%); and Rubin (10%). Of this group Melodie, Granny Smith, and Gorjaczkowski Seedling were among the richest in glutathione in both 2003 and 2004.

**Phenolic Compounds.** The average concentration of apple peel phenolics ranged from 777.8 (Vanda) to 1842.9 (Haralson)  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> of fm and from 476.2 (Rubin) to 2349.5 (Haralson)  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> of fm in 2003 and 2004, respectively (**Table 3**). On average, a considerably higher phenolics content was noted in 2003. Among 10 cultivars with the lowest and 10 cultivars with the

Table 3. Concentrations of Some Subgroups of Phenolics Depending on Cultivar and Harvest Year<sup>a</sup>

	phenolics <sup>b</sup> ( $\mu$ g g <sup>-1</sup> of fm)		flavonols <sup>c</sup> (	flavonols <sup>c</sup> ( $\mu$ g g <sup>-1</sup> of fm)		anthocyanins <sup><i>d</i></sup> ( $\mu$ g g <sup>-1</sup> of fm)		
cultivar	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004		
Alwa	1581.5f-m	1049.4a-d	222.3ab	314.0a-c	256.3I-u	183.8b—i		
Arlet	1458.4c-m	1270.7b-a	667.4g-k	694.9b-a	195.0e-p	155.4a-h		
Auralia	1019.7a—i	672.1ab	348.0a-q	340.5a-d	92.9a-q	nd <sup>e</sup> a		
Britemac	1549.7e-m	964.6a-d	350.1a-g	325.1a-c	100.4a-h	100.4a-h		
Cortland	1408.8b-m	878.7a-d	404.1a-h	296.5a-c	183.0e-p	229.8a—i		
Cox's Orange Pippin	1512.8e-m	1120.0a-d	699.0h-k	599.1a-q	71.6a-e	144.7a-h		
Egeria	1265.1a-m	1317.8b-a	371.1a–q	698.4b-a	263.5m-u	144.4a-h		
Elstar	927.8a-e	1055.0a-d	262.3a-c	492.8a-f	114.5a-i	165.7a–i		
Fiesta	880.4a-d	826.0a-d	256.6a-c	398.1a-e	149.3b-m	150.0a-h		
Freedom	1207.1a-l	1949.1hi	462.4a-k	976.7a	129.0a-k	235.4a-i		
Fuii	1630.2h-m	1029.5ad	765.1k	398.7a-e	94.9a-q	148.8a-h		
Gala	875.0a-d	944.1a-d	177.2a	362.7a-d	37.5a-c	138.1a-h		
Gloster	1503.6e-m	1243.9a-f	513.1bk	789.4d-q	340.9t–w	458.3k		
Golden Delicious	1130.9a-k	962.7a–d	293.0a-d	349.2a–d	41.5a–d	nd a		
Goriaczkowski Seedling	1465.3c-m	1194.0a-f	628.9e-k	674.3a-a	248.6k—u	141.2ah		
Granny Smith	1697.1k-m	1843.5f—i	530.1bk	1525.1h	36.4a-c	58.1a-e		
Haralson	1842.9m	2349.5i	614.5d–k	976.2g	168.3e-o	234.8g-j		
Honeygold	961.7a-f	1028.2a-d	289.0a-d	484.3a-f	9.9a	nd a		
Jester	983.9a-g	1242.9a-f	283.3a-d	629.5a-g	27.9ab	58.4a-e		
Jonamac	1132.8a-k	799.3a-d	487.2a-k	358.6a-d	379.9w	224.1ej		
King of the Pippins	1666.6k-m	1910.0g—i	597.3d-k	727.5c-g	176.1e-p	56.6a-e		
Liberty	1662.9j—m	2286.6i	744.7jk	655.5a-g	<b>516.7</b> y	501.5k		
Ligol	812.9ab	997.5a-d	302.0a-d	524.6a-f	112.3a—j	168.3a—i		
Ligolina	1034.7a—i	707.2ac	287.9a-d	289.8a-c	106.0a—i	51.9a-d		
McIntosh	1489.9d-m	1073.9a-d	566.6c-k	630.5a—g	183.8e-p	136.4a—h		
Melodie	1622.2h-m	939.0a–d	575.6c-k	431.8a–f	345.2t-w	137.4a—h		
Melrose	1510.4e-m	1486.2c-h	510.8b-k	503.4a-f	281.2n-w	344.5j		
Monroe	1543.9e-m	962.8a-d	773.5k	553.0a—f	335.8s-w	272.8h—j		
Mutsu	1438.9c-m	774.0a–d	466.5a-k	<b>215.6</b> a	30.6ab	nd a		
Nova Easygro	1524.4e-m	1050.3a–d	429.7a—i	359.3a–d	165.2d—n	63.0a–g		
Odra	1384.7a-m	1798.6e-i	508.4b-k	668.2a–g	357.1uw	<b>560.2</b> k		
Pilot	1024.2a—i	743.7a-d	255.8a—c	301.9a-c	77.0a–f	37.4a–c		
Pinova	1024.5a—i	761.1a-d	319.8а-е	379.8a-d	118.8a—j	37.6a–c		
Priam	1305.4a-m	957.6a-d	334.4a–f	343.9a-d	194.7e-p	186.0b—i		
Prima	1164.1a–I	1204.3a-f	444.6a—j	340.7a-d	232.4i-t	267.5h—j		
Priscilla	1755.1lm	798.4a-d	656.8f-k	325.8a-c	295.1p-w	113.9a-h		
Rajka	999.4a-h	710.9a-c	554.5C-k	391.9а-е	167.5e-0	169.0a-i		
Redgold	1508.6e-m	1094.6a-d	603.2d-k	516.5a-t	317.8r–w	310.8ij		
Rosana	1151.8a-l	1314.7b-g	4/5.8a-k	606.9a-g	193.0e-p	197.5C-j		
Rubin	1026.7a-l	4/6.2a	355.7a—g	257.3ab	226.2n—s	111.1a-n		
Rubinette	1091.2a-K	984.9a-d	350.5a-g	562.5d-1	122.0a-j	50.1a-e		
Ruby Čampian	1688.9K-m	929.7a-0	379.6a-g	225.3a	160.7C-n	22.3aD		
Sampion	1001.0a-n	1149.0a-e	430.1a-j	404.9a-e	117.2a-j	114.0a-11 070.0h i		
Sawa	1440.10-111 1275.90 m	1334.20-9	552.00-K	529.0a-i	200.90-W	272.011-j		
Selena Stowe Debieditiolism	1373.0d-III 1611.2a m	1260.9D-g	200.20 h	032.0a-y	212.29-1 120.55 J	110.0a-11		
Shawa Publeuillellalli Sporton	1011.3y-11	900.9a-u 1112.6a.d	599.2a-11	302.2a-u 446.25 f	139.00-1 236.0i +	90.5a—y 217.5ii		
Spencer	1200.0a-III 1381.0a-m	731 Qa_c	500.40-k	440.2a-1 111 72-f	230.0j-i 1/9.0b-m	nd a		
Topaz	010 72-0	1040 5a_d	301 02-0	305 /2-0	108 Qa_i	174 0b_i		
10paz 11 1065	1030 0a_i	832 62_d	201.5a_d	354 62_d	205 6f_r	228 1f_i		
U 1165	1030.0a-i 1020.7a_i	1384 5h_h	251.Ja-u 356.0a-u	306 7a a	138 5h_l	220.11-j 272.6h_i		
11633	1020.7 a-1 1087 Qa_k	1076 Ra_d	311 82_A	471 Qa_f	316 7r_w	212.011-j 216.4d_i		
Undine	1645 7i_m	835 12_d	724 8i_k	419 52_f	133 da_l	210.40-j 86.82-a		
Vanda	777.8a	1287 2h_a	387 8a-h	826 7e-a	126 6a—k	147 6a—h		
Wealthy	1046 9a_i	1521 7d_h	374 62-0	846 0fg	40 8a_d	141 0a_h		
Witos	851.52-0	782.5a-d	285.1a_d	305.8a-c	86.1a-n	86.6a_n		
	1004.4	1127.6	447.4	500.04	177 A	170.0		
av	1204.1	0.1611	447.4	209.9	177.4	112.2		

<sup>a</sup> Means followed by the same letters do not differ significantly. Bold type indicates the highest and lowest values <sup>b</sup> Expressed as equivalent of gallic acid. <sup>c</sup> Expressed as equivalent of quercetin. <sup>d</sup> Expressed as equivalent of cyanidin-3,5-di-glucoside. <sup>e</sup> Not detected.

highest concentrations of phenolic compounds, only two and four occurred in both the 2003 and 2004 seasons, respectively. The highest amount of phenolics was measured for Haralson followed by Liberty, King of the Pippins, and Granny Smith and the lowest for Rajka and Witos, on average. Of all analyzed cultivars, seven showed resistance to apple scab (V<sub>f</sub> resistance gene): Freedom, Liberty, Priam, Priscilla, Sawa, Topaz, and Witos. These genotypes contained totally different phenolics as well as flavonol concentration from low (Witos and Topaz) to medium (Priam and Priscilla) to high (Sawa, Freedom, and Liberty), on average, for the two examined years. However, irrespective of the season Witos was in the group with the lowest phenolics concentration, whereas Liberty was in the group with the highest. Among scab-resistant cultivars, the biggest difference in the total phenol content between the years (>100%) was obtained for Priscilla. Nearly the same content of phenolics was noted for Sawa and Witos—the differences were below 10% between 2003 and 2004 for all subgroups of phenolics. Other cultivars, in which total phenol content did not depend on the vegetation period, were Egeria, Gala, Granny Smith, Honeygold,

Melrose, Prima, Selena, and U 633. A great influence of the year was noted when differences between mean values of phenolics of above >70% were exhibited for Melodie, Mutsu, Rubin, Ruby, Spencer, and Undine.

In general, genotypes with the highest phenolics concentration had simultaneously a high flavonol content. As opposed to the phenolics, the average value of flavonol concentration was considerably higher in 2004, especially in the cases of Egeria, Elstar, Gala, Granny Smith, Jester, Ligol, Vanda, and Wealthy, which contained >70% higher flavonol content as compared to 2003. Contrary to the above-mentioned cultivars, Fuji, Mutsu, Undine, and Priscilla had considerably higher flavonol contents in the first tested year. The dark-red and red apple fruits had the highest anthocyanins concentrations. Hence, Sawa, Monroe, Redgold, Gloster, Odra, and Liberty were the richest in anthocyanins, on average, for the two examined years. The lowest concentration,  $<100 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$  of fm, was found mainly in the fruit of Honeygold, Mutsu, Golden Delicious, and Auralia, with an orange blush of the skin, followed by Pilot, Pinova, Gala, and Rubinette (orange to red color of the skin), but also in this group were cultivars with red skins such as Ligolina, Witos, Wealthy, and Ruby.

On average, 177.4 and 172.2  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> of fm anthocyanins were obtained for 2003 and 2004, respectively. The increase of anthocyanins concentration in 2003 was especially noted for Egeria, Gorjaczkowski Seedling, Nova Easygro, Priscilla, Selena, Ligolina, and Melodie. In that year also small amounts of anthocyanins were detected for cultivars with orange skin such as Auralia, Golden Delicious, Honeygold, and Mutsu, whereas in 2004 they were below the detection limit of the method used. Steady levels of these phytochemical contents throughout both tested years were exhibited by Britemac, Fiesta, Liberty, Priam, Rajka, Redgold, Rosana, Šampion, Sawa, and Witos—most of them with dark-red and red skin color.

**Enzyme Activitiy.** The average concentration of apple peel GR activity ranged from 1.07 (Nova Easygro) to 7.77 (Rubinette) nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm and from 2.23 (Sawa) to 14.54 (Rubinette) nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm in 2003 and 2004, respectively (Table 4). Hence, compared to 2003, >2 times higher activity of GR in 2004 was noted, on average. Differences above 70% between the years were presented by  $\sim$ 73% of the cultivars among all tested, and from this group more that half exhibited >100% higher GR activity in 2004 than in the former year. In only two cases, Selena and Sawa, was a meaningful increase obtained in 2003, whereas Alwa, Elstar, Gala, Odra, Rajka, and Rubin showed very small differences in GR activity between the examined years. Not so high as in the case of GR, but for almost all cultivars the increase of CAT activity was also measured; on average, a 28% increase of CAT activity in 2004 in comparison with 2003 was recorded. The activity of CAT amounted from 3.97 (Prima) to 8.87 (Sampion) nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm and from 4.64 (Sława Pobiediteliam) to 11.13 (Melodie) nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm in 2003 and 2004, respectively (Table 3). Ten of 56 examined genotypes had very similar CAT activities in both years: Britemac, Egeria, Fuji, Gloster, Golden Delicious, Honeygold, Mutsu, Nova Easygro, Odra, and Spencer-the differences between the years for these cultivars did not exceed 10%. The year effect was the lowest in the case of APX activity. Compared to 2003, the increase of APX activity in 2004 amounted to ~19%. Considerably higher, in comparison with GR, and comparable to CAT activity, a number of cultivars (~20%) exhibited similar APX activities in both 2003 and 2004, namely, Cox's Orange Pippin, Egeria, Freedom, Golden Delicious, Honeygold, Jonamac, Liberty, Ligol, Melodie, Pinova, Priam, Vanda, and Witos. The

lowest APX activity was obtained for Topaz (25.6 nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm) and Gala (26.9 nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm) and the highest for Šampion (200.7 nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm) and U 633 (192.6 nkat  $g^{-1}$  of fm) in both 2003 and 2004, respectively. It is interesting that 40 cultivars in 2004 had a higher APX activity than the average value, whereas only 20 cultivars did so in 2003.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Both natural and synthetic antioxidants were shown to enhance product stability, quality, and shelf life. Besides, the literature is now demonstrating that some antioxidants present in food provide important health benefits including the delay of cardiovascular diseases, some cancers, arthritis, and Alzheimer's disease (26, 27). Hence, fruit quality research includes not only studies of sensory elements followed by the development of reduced browning genotypes and the investigation of postharvest attributes as well as disease resistance but also the examination of antioxidant content and composition. However, the latter is discussed as an important factor in relation to many formerly mentioned traits (2, 8, 9, 17, 18, 28).

The distinct differences between apple cultivars in phytochemical content were found in relation to smaller (4, 6, 14)and greater numbers of cultivars (7-9). Also, this study shows the significant differences between examined genotypes of apple in antioxidant content. Mean contents of total ascorbate, glutathione, and phenolics represented 5.6-4.3-, 3.3-3.7-, and 2.4-4.9-fold variations, respectively, in their concentration depending on the year, whereas GR, APX, and CAT activities exhibited 7.3-6.5-, 7.8-7.2-, and 2.2-2.4-fold variations, respectively. Davey and Keulemans (8) testing 31 cultivars of apple noted 3.6- and 4.6-fold variations for total ascorbate and total glutathione, respectively. However, they analyzed a whole apple fruit. In the case of phenolics, 5.4-fold variation in total phenolics concentration was recorded (7). The years 2003 and 2004 considerably differed with regard to mean temperature and rainfall; therefore, it could also be noted which compounds and cultivars exhibited the highest intensity of responses to changing weather conditions. We tried to distinguish the cultivars with the lowest, highest, and relatively stable concentrations or those in which antioxidant concentrations greatly differed depending on the growing seasons. Irrespective of the year, high contents of ascorbate were obtained for Rajka, Topaz, Pilot, Šampion, and Melodie; for glutathione, Gorjaczkowski Seedling, Granny Smith, Cox's Orange Pippin, Melodie, and Pilot; and in the case of phenolics, Haralson, Liberty, King of the Pippins, and Granny Smith. Simultaneously, among these cultivars the differences in the given compound content in a successive year were rather small, especially for glutathione and, in general, they did not exceed 30%. It was noted that it is difficult to find cultivars "rich" or "poor" in all of the tested phytochemicals and additionally in both years. Pilot and Melodie had the highest ascorbate and glutathione contents and McIntosh the lowest, irrespective of growing season. Granny Smith was in the group of the highest contents of glutathione and phenolics. Compared to phenolics, there were more cultivars described as "stable" in relation to the concentration of ascorbate and glutathione despite tested year. It is interesting that, in the case of ascorbate, in this group there were rather cultivars with low or medium levels of this compound. As opposed to this, the "stable" cultivars with respect to glutathione concentration were predominantly those with the content of over the mean value or rather high.

The glutathione system as a stress marker in plant ecophysiology is discussed by Tausz et al. (20). They suggested an initial stress response in relation to changes in the glutathione redox

	GR (nkat g <sup>-1</sup> of fm)		APX (nkat	t g $^{-1}$ of fm)	CAT (nkat $g^{-1}$ of fm)		
cultivar	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	
Alwa	2.49a-k	2.67ab	67.1a-q	152.1f-k	5.46a-q	7.97b-m	
Arlet	3.05a-n	8.42a-m	94.6a-g	148.4f-k	5.91a-h	8.81f-m	
Auralia	4.04i-o	9.62i—n	133.3c-h	113.9b-k	5.22a-q	6.37a-i	
Britemac	1.57a-e	4 48a_f	53 4a-e	124 8c-k	5.58a-a	5 76a-a	
Cortland	2 79a_l	6.91c_m	105.9a_0	74.6a_n	4.63a_e	8 50e_m	
Cox's Orange Pippin	2.700 T	6.51c_k	82.52 g	87.82_i	6.75a_i	7.622	
Egoria	1.510 0	5.066 i	147.0f b	127.5d k	0.75a—j 8.62ii	9.22d m	
Eleter	1.51a-e	0.900-j	147.01-11	100.60 k	0.02lj	0.22u-III 6.50a i	
Eistar	3.376-11	3.70a-u	86.4a-y	122.00-K	4.10ab	0.00lu m	
Flesta	2.19a-j	10.35K-N	44.5a-c	1/1.4I—K	4.96a—g	9.93K-m	
Freedom	3.961-0	7.300-m	81.1a-g	87.0a-I	5.41a-g	7.60a-l	
Fuji	2.35a—K	9.82K-n	128.0c-n	75.0a—g	7.65g—J	7.82a-l	
Gala	4.22j—p	4.05a-e	84.3a-g	<b>26.9</b> a	4.30a-c	5.13a-d	
Gloster	5.78p-t	9.01i-m	126.9c-h	75.9a—g	7.38e-j	7.64a–l	
Golden Delicious	5.91p—t	10.28k—n	156.5gh	143.7ek	5.91a—h	5.45a—e	
Gorjaczkowski Seedling	2.80a–l	12.83no	100.9a—g	156.0f–k	5.79a–g	8.98f-m	
Granny Smith	1.71a—g	10.73mn	53.1a-e	150.0f–k	5.30a–g	8.34d-m	
Haralson	2.88a-m	6.84c-l	90.0a—g	41.9ab	6.38a—j	9.06g-m	
Honeygold	2.00a—i	7.18d-m	137.5d—h	125.8c-k	5.80a-g	5.66a-f	
Jester	1.71a-g	4.45a-f	74.8a-g	116.3b-k	5.18a-g	7.79a–l	
Jonamac	6.01r-t	7.88f-m	149.9f—h	158.1q-k	6.59a—i	9.12h-m	
King of the Pippins	6.86s-u	8.08f-m	81.9a-q	65.2a-e	6.82b—i	8.96f-m	
Liberty	3.84a-o	9.02i-m	102.5a-g	99.8a—i	4.82a-f	7.74a–I	
Ligol	4 42k_r	8 77h-m	142.3e-h	149.9f-k	7.57f—i	11.05m	
Ligolina	1 30a_d	7 93f_m	93.9a_n	108.4b-i	6 79a_i	8 04b_m	
McIntosh	1.80a_h	3.422	42 3a_c	104.8b_i	4.76a_e	6.16a_h	
Melodie	3 37d_n	12.56no	107.6a_a	107.4b_i	5.43a_a	11 13m	
Melrose	1.01a_i	10.33k_n	107.0a-g	158 3a k	5.922 h	8 75f_m	
Monroo	1.51a-1 1.645 f	4.752.0	21.10-11	160.1j	1.32a-11	6.160 h	
Mutou	1.04a—i	4.75a-y	47.90 d	109.11-k	4.30a—u	0.10a-11 5.20a d	
Novo Ecovero	1.09a-i	5.000 h	47.0a-u 145.0a-b	30.3a—u 70.5a_b	5.02a—y	5.20a-u	
NOVA EASYGIO	1.0/d	5.25d-11	140.90-11 124.50 h	79.3d-11	0.4Za—j	0.00d-l	
	5.530-S	5.11a-n	134.5C-n	54.7a-C	7.37e-j	I-608.1	
Pliot	2.94a-n	6.88C-M	144.8e-n	109.4D-j	5.33a–g	6.99a-l	
Pinova	2.75a—I	7.00c-m	154.2t-n	162.2N—K	5.56a—g	7.80a-l	
Priam	1.45a-e	5.33a-i	138.7d-h	141.0e-k	6.56a—J	8.35d-m	
Prima	2.54a-k	3.61a-d	74.5a-g	113.6b-k	3.97a	4.9a-c	
Priscilla	3.48d–n	8.30g-m	74.7a–g	136.9d-k	4.50a-d	6.62a-k	
Rajka	6.19r—u	6.75c-l	32.2ab	116.5b-k	8.51h—j	6.75a–I	
Redgold	4.94n—r	10.15k—n	88.9a—g	139.2d–k	6.39a—j	9.77j—m	
Rosana	3.76f–o	7.65e-m	119.4b—h	178.3jk	5.19a—g	9.08g–m	
Rubin	6.14r—u	5.63a—i	120.1b—h	136.7d–k	5.96a—h	7.51a–l	
Rubinette	<b>7.77</b> u	<b>14.54</b> 0	85.3a—g	156.8g–k	7.04c—j	9.34h-m	
Ruby	1.60a-f	7.04c-m	106.6a–g	158.3g–k	3.99a	6.33a—i	
Šampion	7.34tu	9.88k—n	<b>200.7</b> h	143.3e-k	<b>8.87</b> j	10.01lm	
Sawa	3.09a-n	<b>2.23</b> a	124.7c-h	71.9a–f	5.73a-g	4.85a-c	
Selena	7.19s-u	6.04b—j	87.2a–g	154.2f–k	8.76ij	7.08a–l	
Sława Pobieditieliam	1.15a-c	2.76ab	155.5gh	98.7a—j	5.34a-g	<b>4.64</b> a	
Spartan	2.95a—n	3.73a–d	91.8a—q	103.9c-i	4.26a—č	5.34a-e	
Spencer	2.85a–l	9.02i-m	53.7a—e	145.7e-k	6.76a—i	7.04a–l	
Topaz	2.70a-k	10.16k-n	25.6a	105.2b-i	4.95a-g	8.79f-m	
U1065	1.66a_f	7.06c-m	93.8a-a	109.5b-i	6.26a—i	9.68i-m	
U1165	2.70a-k	9.97k—n	148.8f_h	77.4a-a	7.59f—i	4.81ab	
U633	3.32c_n	9.63i_n	63.3a_n	192.6k	4 71a_e	10.00lm	
Undine	4.811_r	7 450_m	61 22_f	137 Qd_k	7 18d_i	8 11c_m	
Vanda	4 90m_r	9 65i_n	85.22-0	80 22_h	6.51a_i	9 30h_m	
Wealthy	1.00mm	8.6/h m	128 60 h	112 Gb	6.07a j	8.0011-111 8.0/1d m	
Witce	3.02h o	5.0411-111 5.075 i	120.00-11 130.00 h	12.00-K	0.21a-1 7.61f i	0.24u-111 8.76f m	
441102	3.32(1-0	0.97D-J	130.80-11	123.00-K	1.011-j	0.701-111	
av	3.40	7.50	100.0	118.9	6.00	7.69	

<sup>a</sup> Means followed by the same letters do not differ significantly. Bold type indicates the highest and lowest values

state; an acclimation is marked by an increased GSH content, increased related enzyme activity, and/or a greater redox status of GSH. The latter is interpreted as overcompensation leading to enhanced regeneration of GSH. If we accept the weather conditions of 2004 as unfavorable, there was no distinct decrease or increase of glutathione and its precursors content in this season as a stress response factor, in comparison to the former year. Contents of these compounds were nearly the same, regardless of the year and, in general, irrespective of cultivar, whereas the activity of antioxidative enzymes considerably increased, especially with regard to GR—the enzyme evolved in the reduction of GSSG, followed by CAT and APX. GR activity in 2004 was 2 times higher than in 2003, on average, and a bigger or smaller increase than the average value was presented by 51 genotypes of 56 tested. Although we did not determine the GSH/GSSG redox state, it seems that GR activity may be a better and more convenient stress marker of apple fruit.

The relationship between ascorbate-glutathione content and extent of photooxidative damage is also suggested (29). Taking into account different parts of a single fruit (13), AA concentrations were highest in tissues that had been exposed to higher

light intensities (red exocarp) and, opposed to this, GSH levels were generally lower in this area and vice versa. Moreover, total phenolics content of red exocarp was 1.7-fold higher in comparison with green, but without qualitative differences between the two types of tissues. Other authors (13) concluded that enhanced stress resistance of red, highlighted acclimated exocarp is due to the antioxidant activities of phenolics and, in particular, anthocyanins. Also, Planchon et al. (9) reported that ascorbic acid content depended not only on maturity but also on fruit position in the tree, fruit size, and fruit skin color. In the present results there was no clear relationship between the overcolor of the skin (blush of apple) and ascorbate or glutathione level. In the group of cultivars with high ascorbate concentration (>3400 nmol  $g^{-1}$  of fm—the mean value for two years), there were mainly fruits with orange-red overcolor of the skin, for example, Elstar, Cox's Orange Pippin, Topaz, Pilot, Fiesta, and Rubin. Red and dark-red apple peels were predominantly noted among cultivars with high glutathione concentration  $(>60 \text{ nmol g}^{-1} \text{ of fm, on average})$ -Melrose, Sampion, Nova Easygro, Jonamac, Haralson, Rajka, Cortland, Alwa, Ruby, and Gorjaczkowski Seedling. The same remark as for glutathione concerns phenolics and obviously anthocyanins. A positive correlation between phenolics and flavonols content (R =(0.5705) and weaker correlations between ascorbate and GR (R = 0.1952), between phenolics and anthocyanins (R = 0.1923), between glutathione and GR (R = 0.1125), between ascorbate and glutathione (R = 0.0339), or between ascorbate and APX (R = 0.0105) were recorded. The weak negative correlation between ascorbate and different subgroups of phenolics, especially anthocyanins (R = 0.0711), was noted.

This work supported the same conclusion as in the Davey and Keulemans paper (8) in relation to harvest date and vitamin C content. Namely, cultivars with the highest ascorbate concentration were harvested later in the season. It is suggested that the combination of high AA and GSH contents and late ripening is possibly partially responsible for storage behavior in apple cultivars. Contrary to this, Podsêdek et al. (28) reported that summer cultivars showed relatively high ascorbic acid content.

To adapt some cultivars to production systems that are more environmentally friendly or to use them in breeding programs for the relationship between bioactive compound and their redox state, characteristics that are responsible for or may act as signaltransducing molecules in disease resistance are also looked for (9, 17, 30). However, in our study a comparison of seven cultivars resistant to apple scab showed that the total phenolics content is a rather weak tool to mark scab resistant genotypes. Mayr et al. (17) reported that a high concentration of flavan-3-ols was found in those orchards which were not infected with *Venturia inaequalis*.

It is difficult to discuss or perceive all connections in such a great number of cultivars, but we hope that the knowledge of the concentration of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants, which are associated with the regulation of a wide range of metabolic functions, could be used across many disciplines, especially in the genetic improvement of apples, for example, in an integrated analysis of progenitors and breeding families to identify QTL alleles improving antioxidant content in fruit, or by other researchers, for example, in physiological areas. A high content of secondary metabolites in new cultivars described by Schmitz-Eiberger (7) indicates that the content of secondary plant ingredients can be enhanced by breeding. Looking through the presented antioxidant characteristics, it could sometimes be difficult to find the connection between their content and other

quality parameters. This may suggest that they are not universally involved in the quality trait of each cultivar. The difficulties originate from a large variety of antioxidant compounds, probably many not identified so far, and still fragmentary knowledge about their synergistic or antagonistic effects.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED

AA, L-ascorbic acid; DHAA, dehydroascorbic acid; GSH, reduced glutathione; GSSG, oxidized glutathione; CYS, L-cysteine;  $\gamma$ -GC,  $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteine; GR, glutathione reductase; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; CAT, catalase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; AOS, active oxygen species.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Noctor, G.; Foyer, C. Ascorbate and glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. *Annu. Rev. Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **1998**, 49, 131–140.
- (2) Hodges, D. M.; Lester, G. E.; Munro, K. D.; Toivonen, P. M. A. Oxidative stress: importance for postharvest quality. *Hort-Science* 2004, 39 (5), 924–929.
- (3) Masia, A. Superoxide dismutase and catalase activities in apple fruit during ripening and post-harvest and with special reference to ethylene. *Physiol. Plant.* **1998**, *104*, 668–672.
- (4) Escarpa, A.; Gonzalez, M. C. High-performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection for the determination of phenolic compounds in peel and pulp from different apple varieties. J. Chromatogr. A 1998, 823, 331–337.
- (5) Valencia, E.; Marin, A.; Hardy, G. Glutathione-nutritional and pharmacologic viewpoints. Part IV. *Nutrition* 2001, *17*, 783– 784.
- (6) Van der Sluis, A. A.; Dekker, M.; de Jager, A.; Jongen, W. M. F. Activity and concentration of polyphenolic antioxidant in apple: effect of cultivar, harvest year, and storage conditions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2001, *49*, 3606–3613.
- (7) Schmitz-Eiberger, M.; Weber, V.; Treutter, D.; Baab, G.; Lorenz, J. Bioactive components in fruits from different apple varieties. *J. Appl. Bot.* –*Angew. Bot.* 2003, *77*, 167–171.
- (8) Davey, M. W.; Keulemans, J. Determining the potential to breed for enhanced antioxidant status in *Malus*: mean inter- and intravarietal fruit vitamin C and glutathione content at harvest and their evolution during storage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, 52, 8031–8038.
- (9) Planchon, V.; Lateur, M.; Dupont, P.; Lognay, G. Ascorbic acid level of Belgian apple genetic resources. *Sci. Hortic.* 2004, *100*, 51–61.
- (10) Eberhardt, M. V.; Lee, C. Y.; Liu, R. H. Antioxidant activity of fresh apples. *Nature* **2000**, 903–904.
- (11) Łata, B.; Przeradzka, M. Changes of antioxidant content in the fruit peel and flesh of selected apple cultivars during storage. J. Fruit Ornam. Plant Res. 2002, 10, 1–11.
- (12) Guo, C.; Yang, J.; Wie, J.; Li, Y.; Xu, J.; Jiang, Y. Antioxidant activities of peel, pulp and seed fractions of common fruits as determined by FRAP assay. *Nutr. Res.* 2003, 23, 1719–1726.
- (13) Davey, M. W.; Franck, C.; Keulemans, J. Distribution, developmental and stress responses of antioxidant metabolism in *Malus. Plant, Cell Environ.* 2004, 27, 1309–1320.
- (14) Wolfe, K.; Wu, X.; Liu, R. H. Antioxidant activity of apple peels. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 609–614.
- (15) Rice-Evans, C. A.; Miller, N. J.; Paganga, G. Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1997, 2, 152–159.
- (16) Heim, K. E.; Tagliaferro, A. R.; Bobilya, D. J. Flavonoid antioxidant: chemistry, metabolism and structure–activity relationships. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2002, 13, 572–584.

- (17) Mayr, U.; Michalek, S.; Treutter, D.; Feucht, W. Phenolic compounds of apple and their relationship to scab resistance. *J. Phytopathol.* **1997**, *145*, 69–75.
- (18) Thomai, T.; Sfakiotakis, E.; Diamantidis, Gr.; Vasilkakis, M. Effects of low preharwest temperature on scald susceptibility and biochemical changes in 'Granny Smith' apple peel. *Sci. Hortic.* **1998**, *76*, 1–15.
- (19) Foyer, C. H.; Halliwel, B. The presence of glutathione and glutathione reductase in chloroplast: a proposed role in ascorbic acid metabolism. *Planta* **1976**, *133*, 21–25.
- (20) Tausz, M.; Sircelj, H.; Grill, D. The glutathione system as a stress marker in plant ecophysiology: is a stress-response concept valid? *J. Exp. Bot.* **2004**, *55* (404), 1955–1962.
- (21) Nakano, Y.; Asada, K. Purification of ascorbate peroxidase in spinach chloroplast, its inactivation in ascorbate depleted medium and reactivation by monodehydroascorbate radical. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **1987**, *28*, 131–140.
- (22) Beers, R. F.; Sizer, I. A. Spectrophotometric method for measuring the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide by catalase. J. Biol. Chem. 1952, 195, 133–140.
- (23) Newton, G. L.; Dorian, R.; Fahey, R. C. Analysis of biological thiols: derivatization with monobromobimane and separation by reversed phase liquid chromatography. *Anal. Biochem.* **1987**, *111*, 383–387.
- (24) Polle, A.; Chakrabarti, K.; Schurmann, W.; Rennenberg, H. Composition and properties of hydrogen peroxide decomposing systems in extracellular and total extracts from needles of norway spruce (*Picea abies* L., Karst.). *Plant Physiol.* **1990**, *94*, 312– 319.

Łata et al.

- Anthocyanins, phenolics, and color of Cabernet Franc, Merlot, and Pinot Noir wines from British Columbia. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47*, 4009–4017.
- (26) Boyer, J.; Liu, R. H. Apple phytochemicals and their health benefits. *Nutr. J.* **2004**, www.nutritionj.com/content/3/1/5.
- (27) Kaur, C.; Kapoor, H. C. Antioxidant in fruits and vegetables the millennium's health. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2001, 36, 703– 735.
- (28) Podsędek, A.; Wilska-Jeszka, J.; Anders, B.; Markowski, J. Compositional characterization of some apple varieties. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2000, 210, 268–272.
- (29) Ma, F.; Cheng, L. Exposure of the shaded side of apple fruit to full sun leads to up-regulation of both the xanthophyll cycle and the ascorbate-glutathione cycle. *Plant Sci.* 2004, *166*, 1479– 1486.
- (30) Foyer, C. H.; Lopez-Degaldo, H.; Dat, J. F.; Scott, I. M. Hydrogen peroxide- and glutathione-associated mechanisms of acclimatory stress tolerance and signaling. *Physiol. Plant.* **1997**, *100*, 241–254.

Received for review June 24, 2005. Revised manuscript received September 7, 2005. Accepted September 13, 2005. This research was financially supported by European Commission, Grant QLK5-CT-2002-01492.

JF051503X